

# **AFRL-RX-WP-TM-2010-4186**

# COLLABORATIVE RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT (CR&D)

Delivery Order 0070: Ab-initio Modeling of Slippery Hexagonal Solids

Sanjsy V. Khare

**Universal Technology Corporation** 

MAY 2008 Final Report

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

See additional restrictions described on inside pages

STINFO COPY

AIR FORCE RESEARCH LABORATORY
MATERIALS AND MANUFACTURING DIRECTORATE
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE, OH 45433-7750
AIR FORCE MATERIEL COMMAND
UNITED STATES AIR FORCE

# NOTICE AND SIGNATURE PAGE

Using Government drawings, specifications, or other data included in this document for any purpose other than Government procurement does not in any way obligate the U.S. Government. The fact that the Government formulated or supplied the drawings, specifications, or other data does not license the holder or any other person or corporation; or convey any rights or permission to manufacture, use, or sell any patented invention that may relate to them.

This report was cleared for public release by the USAF 88<sup>th</sup> Air Base Wing (88 ABW) Public Affairs Office (PAO) and is available to the general public, including foreign nationals. Copies may be obtained from the Defense Technical Information Center (DTIC) (http://www.dtic.mil).

AFRL-RX-WP-TM-2010-4186 HAS BEEN REVIEWED AND IS APPROVED FOR PUBLICATION IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE ASSIGNED DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT.

\*//Signature//

MARK GROFF
Program Manager
Business Operations Branch
Materials & Manufacturing Directorate

//Signature//

KENNETH A. FEESER Branch Chief Business Operations Branch Materials & Manufacturing Directorate

This report is published in the interest of scientific and technical information exchange, and its publication does not constitute the Government's approval or disapproval of its ideas or findings.

<sup>\*</sup>Disseminated copies will show "//Signature//" stamped or typed above the signature blocks.

# REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188

The public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Department of Defense, Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports (0704-0188), 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number. **PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ADDRESS**.

1. REPORT DATE (DD-MM-YY)	2. REPORT TYPE	3. DATES COVERED (From - To)
May 2008	Final	18 April 2007 – 17 March 2008
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE  COLLABORATIVE RESEARCH	AND DEVELOPMENT (CR&D)	5a. CONTRACT NUMBER F33615-03-D-5801-0070
Delivery Order 0070: Ab-initio Mo	deling of Slippery Hexagonal Solids	5b. GRANT NUMBER
		<b>5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER</b> 62102F
6. AUTHOR(S)		5d. PROJECT NUMBER
Sanjsy V. Khare		4349
		5e. TASK NUMBER
		L0
		5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER
		4349L0VT
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AN	ND ADDRESS(ES)	8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER
Universal Technology Corporation 1270 North Fairfield Road		S-531-070
Dayton, OH 45432-2600		
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAM Air Force Research Laboratory Materials and Manufacturing Direct		10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY ACRONYM(S) AFRL/RXOB
Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, C Air Force Materiel Command United States Air Force		11. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER(S) AFRL-RX-WP-TM-2010-4186
	<del></del>	

#### 12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

### 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES

PAO Case Number: 88ABW-2009-0429; Clearance Date: 01 Feb 2009.

## 14. ABSTRACT

This research in support of the Air Force Research Laboratory Materials and Manufacturing Directorate was conducted at Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio from 18 April 2007 through 17 March 2008. This task worked to develop, validate, and explore the models of slippery hexagonal lattice solids using ab initio density function theory (DFT) calculations. The various mechanical, electronic, and other properties of the following hexagonal slippery solids were evaluated: Lattice constants, a, c; Elastic Constants, C11, C12, C33, C44, C13, C66L; Density of States (DOS); Local Density of States (LDOS); Band Structures; Voigt, Reuss, Hill Bulk Moduli, Bv, Br, Bh; Voight, Reuss, Hill Shear Moduli, Gv, Gr, Gh; Poisson's Ratio σ; Young's Modulus, E; and Relationships with Coefficient of friction, u. The results are shown in appropriate tables.

# 15. SUBJECT TERMS

hexagonal slippery solids

16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON (Monitor)
a. REPORT Unclassified Unclassified Unclassified Unclassified	OF ABSTRACT: SAR	<b>OF PAGES</b> 14	Mark Groff  19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include Area Code)  N/A

Standard Form 298 (Rev. 8-98) Prescribed by ANSI Std. Z39-18 TO UTC and WPAFB FROM Sanjay V. Khare DATE April 18, 2008

RE Monthly progress report for Collaborative Research and Development (CR&D) Task Order 0070 for the period 12/1/07 through 12/31/07

The electronic properties of remaining compounds i.e.,  $MoX_2$ , where (X = O, S, Se, Te) were found in the first two weeks. Their band structures, local density of states and total density of states were plotted and studied. A comparative study of all the four compounds  $MoO_2$ ,  $MoS_2$ ,  $MoS_2$ , and  $MoTe_2$  in the group was done.

Meanwhile, literature survey was performed to find a relationship between the elastic constants and the coefficient of friction. Preliminary studies showed that there exists a relationship between coefficient of friction and Young's modulus. Further studies were carried out in that direction.

After completion of the computations for the mechanical and electronic properties of 'Mo' compounds along 16<sup>th</sup> group of the periodic table, we searched for other materials of interest to the Air Force. We then found published work by Dr. Voevodin of the Wright Patterson Air Force Base (WPAFB) on NbSe<sub>2</sub> which had similar tribological behavior to MoX<sub>2</sub>. We thus began working on NbX<sub>2</sub> by the end of the last week.

RE Monthly progress report for Collaborative Research and Development (CR&D) Task Order 0070 for the period 01/1/08 through 01/31/08

As in case of Mo, we decided to run through the 16<sup>th</sup> group i.e., O, S, Se, and Te for a systematic comparison of properties. Hence we considered compounds with identical structures such as NbO<sub>2</sub>, NbS<sub>2</sub>, NbSe<sub>2</sub> and NbTe<sub>2</sub>.

The first two weeks were consumed in conducting various convergence tests on the above mentioned compounds of Nb. The same procedure as in case of MoX<sub>2</sub> was followed to find lattice constants 'a' and 'c' i.e., by keeping one of them constant and varying the other. Like in case of NbSe<sub>2</sub>, initially 'c' was fixed and 'a' was varied and then 'a' was fixed (fixed to the value found previously) and 'c' was varied. Similar procedures were followed for NbTe<sub>2</sub> and both showed a consistent pattern in reaching convergence. Repeating this process for NbO<sub>2</sub> and NbS<sub>2</sub> yielded their lattice constants 'a' and 'c'.

After the lattice constants were found, the independent parameter 'u' was taken into consideration. Few more tests were conducted with varying 'u' and fixed 'a' and 'c' to see to it that the independent parameter 'u' was also finalized.

Elastic constants were found for all the four compounds. All the five independent elastic constants for NbO<sub>2</sub>, NbS<sub>2</sub>, NbSe<sub>2</sub> and NbTe<sub>2</sub> were calculated. Bulk modulus was also

found from these elastic constants from the relationship between elastic constants and bulk modulus derived previously.

Simultaneously further studies were made on the relationship between coefficient of friction and elastic constants. This time it was clearly found that the coefficient of friction is directly proportional to shear strength and inversely proportional to effective Young's modulus raised to two third.

RE Monthly progress report for Collaborative Research and Development (CR&D) Task Order 0070 for the period 02/1/08 through 02/29/08

Now since the mechanical properties of the materials were calculated, work was started on calculating the electronic properties of the materials NbO<sub>2</sub>, NbS<sub>2</sub>, NbSe<sub>2</sub> and NbTe<sub>2</sub>. The band structures, local density of states and total density of states of NbX<sub>2</sub> were plotted and the required values were found from these plots. As in case of the 'Mo' series, the first Brillouin zone of a hexagonal lattice was chosen, and the high symmetry k points along this region were marked. These k-points along with other files were used to plot band structures. All the results were plotted and compared. By the end of the month work on NbX<sub>2</sub> was completed.

Literature survey revealed that 'W' was the next material of interest for comparison with 'Mo' and 'Nb' series. Hence, we started working on the WX<sub>2</sub> series next. To start with, the 'a' and 'c' values of all the four materials WO<sub>2</sub>, WS<sub>2</sub>, WSe<sub>2</sub> and WTe<sub>2</sub> were evaluated.

RE Monthly progress report for Collaborative Research and Development (CR&D) Task Order 0070 for the period 03/01/08 through 03/17/08

We proceeded to compute the elastic constants and other mechanical properties i.e., Bulk modulus, Young's modulus, Poisson's ratio and others. We followed this by finding the electronic properties of the WX<sub>2</sub>. The band structures were estimated using the k-points derived from the first Brillouin zone of the hexagonal lattice as in case of other compounds. Also the local density of states and total density of states were plotted and compared.

At last a comparative study of all the compounds researched so far i.e.,  $MoX_2$ ,  $NbX_2$ ,  $WX_2$  (X = O, S, Se, Te) was done. Also by the end of the grant period an equation was derived for the relationship between elastic constants and coefficient of friction and was checked for authenticity.

RE Beyond the grant period

We are planning to closely compare our theoretical results with experimental results obtained at WPAFB. We are closely collaborating with WPAFB scientists led by Dr. Andrey Voevodin and working on journal publications of these results.

TO UTC and WPAFB FROM Sanjay V. Khare DATE May 21, 2008

RE Final report for Collaborative Research and Development (CR&D) Task Order 0070

In the course of our effort we evaluated various mechanical, electronic and other properties of several hexagonal slippery solids which were listed below:

- 1. Lattice constants, a, c.
- 2. Elastic Constants, C<sub>11</sub>, C<sub>12</sub>, C<sub>33</sub>, C<sub>44</sub>, C<sub>13</sub>, C<sub>66</sub>.
- 3. Density of States (DOS).
- 4. Local Density of States (LDOS).
- 5. Band Structures.
- 6. Voigt, Reuss, Hill Bulk Moduli, Bv, Br, Bh.
- 7. Voigt, Reuss, Hill Shear Moduli, Gv, Gr, Gh,
- 8. Poisson's Ratio, σ.
- 9. Young's Modulus, E.
- 10. Relationship with Coefficient of friction, u.

We also derived a relationship between shear strength, Young's modulus and coefficient of friction. It was found that coefficient of friction is directly proportional to the shear strength and inversely proportional to the effective Young's modulus raised to the power of one third.

Listed in Tables 1-3 are the results of our computations. Table 1 lists properties of  $MoX_2$  (X = O, S, Se, Te).

Table 1a: The five independent elastic constants ( $C_{11}$ ,  $C_{12}$ ,  $C_{33}$ ,  $C_{44}$ ,  $C_{13}$ ), Bulk Modulus (B), lattice constants (a and c) and Energy band gap ( $E_g$ ).

	MoO <sub>2</sub>	MoS <sub>2</sub>	MoSe <sub>2</sub>	MoTe <sub>2</sub>
<b>C</b> <sub>11</sub> (GPa)	494.218	231.006	195.705	140.080
<b>C</b> <sub>12</sub> (GPa)	184.942	65.374	46.465	38.480
<b>C</b> <sub>33</sub> (GPa)	44.950	48.342	52.748	53,388
<b>C</b> <sub>44</sub> (GPa)	6.528	17.439	20.068	25.613
<b>C</b> <sub>13</sub> (GPa)	12.318	10.235	14.818	15.908
<b>B</b> (GPa)	161.393	75.782	66.262	52.682

a (Å)	2.799	3.123	3.250	3.472
<b>c</b> (Å)	9.856	12.190	12.750	13.762
E <sub>g</sub> (eV)	0.514	0.758	0.692	0.659

Table 1b: The Voigt Bulk Modulus  $(B_v)$ , Voigt Shear Modulus  $(G_v)$ , Reuss Bulk Modulus  $(B_r)$ , Reuss Shear Modulus  $(G_r)$ , Hill Bulk Modulus  $(B_h)$ , Hill Shear Modulus  $(G_h)$ , Young's Modulus (E) (all in units of GPa), Poisson's Ratio  $(\sigma)$ , and relationship  $u = G_h / E^{2/3}$  (in units of  $(GPa)^{1/3}$ ) which is a quantity proportional to the coefficient of friction.

	Ву	G <sub>v</sub>	Вг	G <sub>r</sub>	B <sub>h</sub>	G <sub>h</sub>	σ	E	u
MoO <sub>2</sub>	161.393	88.459	41.991	14.231	101.692	51.345	0.284	131.846	1.982
MoS <sub>2</sub>	75.782	51.840	40.094	29.233	57.938	40.536	0.216	98.611	1.899
MoSe₂	66.262	47.488	42.771	31.507	54.517	39.498	0.208	95.443	1.891
MoTe₂	52.682	37.955	40.716	32.793	46.699	35.374	0.198	84.728	1.834

Table 2 lists the properties of  $NbX_2$  (X = O, S, Se, Te).

Table 2a: Same properties as in Table 1a for  $NbX_2$  (where X = O, S, Se, Te). Note: '-' indicates negative value which is unphysical, i.e. the compound is unstable.

	NbO <sub>2</sub>	NbS₂	NbSe₂	NbTe <sub>2</sub>
<b>C</b> <sub>11</sub> (GPa)	421.003	190.870	155.590	89.290
<b>C</b> <sub>12</sub> (GPa)	216.367	62.630	41.510	45.610
<b>C</b> <sub>33</sub> (GPa)	53.892	54.360	62.140	74.560
<b>C</b> <sub>44</sub> (GPa)	18.960	1.550	-	11.140
<b>C</b> <sub>13</sub> (GPa)	35.037	14.260	15.515	14.760
<b>B</b> (GPa)	163.198	68.711	57.600	44.822
a (Å)	2.948	3.285	3.387	3.576

c (Å)	9.179	11.901	12.545	13.583
E <sub>g</sub> (eV)	0.453	0.758	0.692	0.494

Table 2b: Same properties as in Table 1b for  $NbX_2$  (where X = O, S, Se, Te).

Note: '-' indicates negative value which is unphysical, i.e. the compound is unstable.

	B <sub>y</sub>	G <sub>∨</sub>	B,	G,	B <sub>h</sub>	G <sub>h</sub>	σ	E	u
NbO <sub>2</sub>	163.198	68.678	52.717	32.106	107.957	50.392	0.298	130.821	1.955
NbS <sub>2</sub>	68.711	36.441	43.822	3.697	56.267	20.069	0.341	53.809	1.408
NbSe <sub>2</sub>	57.600	<b></b>	45.374	-	51.487	**	<u>.</u>		<b>L</b> er
NbTe₂	44.822	20.691	42.770	16.719	43.796	18.705	0.313	49.122	1.395

Table 3 lists the properties of  $WX_2$  (X = O, S, Se, Te).

Table 3a: Same properties as in Table 1a for  $WX_2$  (where X = O, S, Se, Te).

	WO <sub>2</sub>	WS <sub>2</sub>	WSe <sub>2</sub>	WTe <sub>2</sub>
<b>C</b> <sub>11</sub> (GPa)	566.410	259.473	214.172	151.926
<b>C</b> <sub>12</sub> (GPa)	190.180	59.057	41.988	28.554
<b>C</b> <sub>33</sub> (GPa)	47.840	47.640	54.118	53.984
<b>C</b> <sub>44</sub> (GPa)	10.727	18.876	21.415	26.677
<b>C</b> <sub>13</sub> (GPa)	11.145	14.337	13.906	15.029
<b>B</b> (GPa)	178.400	82.449	69.118	52.784
a (Å)	2.793	3.123	3.245	3.470
<b>c</b> (Å)	9.890	12.201	12.806	13.825
E <sub>g</sub> (eV)	0.923	0.900	0.824	0.791
1	1		l	1

Table 3b: Same properties as in Table 1b for  $WX_2$  (where X = O, S, Se, Te).

B <sub>v</sub>	G <sub>∨</sub>	B <sub>r</sub>	G <sub>r</sub>	Bh	Gh	σ	E	u
178.400	106.460	44.506	22.041	111.453	64.250	0.258	161.682	2.165
82.450	59.516	41.417	31.364	61.934	45.440	0.205	109.532	1.985
69.118	53.295	43.644	33.922	56.381	43.609	0.193	104.010	1.972
52.784	42.956	40.692	35.341	46.738	39.149	0.173	91.811	1.924
	178.400 82.450 69.118	178.400 106.460 82.450 59.516 69.118 53.295	178.400     106.460     44.506       82.450     59.516     41.417       69.118     53.295     43.644	178.400     106.460     44.506     22.041       82.450     59.516     41.417     31.364       69.118     53.295     43.644     33.922	178.400     106.460     44.506     22.041     111.453       82.450     59.516     41.417     31.364     61.934       69.118     53.295     43.644     33.922     56.381	178.400       106.460       44.506       22.041       111.453       64.250         82.450       59.516       41.417       31.364       61.934       45.440         69.118       53.295       43.644       33.922       56.381       43.609	178.400     106.460     44.506     22.041     111.453     64.250     0.258       82.450     59.516     41.417     31.364     61.934     45.440     0.205       69.118     53.295     43.644     33.922     56.381     43.609     0.193	178.400       106.460       44.506       22.041       111.453       64.250       0.258       161.682         82.450       59.516       41.417       31.364       61.934       45.440       0.205       109.532         69.118       53.295       43.644       33.922       56.381       43.609       0.193       104.010

We are planning to closely compare our theoretical results with experimental results obtained at WPAFB. We are closely collaborating with WPAFB scientists led by Dr. Andrey Voevodin and working on journal publications of these results.